

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/771,279 02/03/2004 Madhusudan Raghavan GP-304292 9655 7590 12/17/2004 **EXAMINER** KATHRYN A MARRA CHANG, CHING **General Motors Corporation** ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER Legal Staff, Mail Code 482-C23-B21 P.O. Box 300 Detroit, MI 48265-3000 DATE MAILED: 12/17/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			. ^ ^
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary	10/771,279	RAGHAVAN ET AL.	V
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ching Chang	3748	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addre	9SS
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pel - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the m earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thi riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI atute, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this comm BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.
Status	,		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _	<u></u> •		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) 7	his action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	wance except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the m	erits is
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex parte Quayl</i> e, 1935 C.E). 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the applicat	ion.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without	drawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	accepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the con	rection is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR	1.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-	152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		e e	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	ian priority under 35 U.S.C. (§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received in A	opplication No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p	riority documents have been	received in this National Sta	ige .
application from the International Bur	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies not	received.	
Attachment(s)			•
) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)	
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-15	21
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/ Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	08) 5)		-)

Application/Control Number: 10/771,279

Art Unit: 3748

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: the species of Figs. 1-3; and the species of Figs. 4-6.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim appears to be generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added.

An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence

Application/Control Number: 10/771,279

Art Unit: 3748

now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

A telephone call was made to Ms. Kathryn Marra on December 9, 2004 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

2. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ching Chang whose telephone number is (571)272-4857. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th, 7:00 AM -5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas Denion can be reached on (571)272-4859. The

Art Unit: 3748

fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Patent Examiner

Ming Many

Ching Chang

THOMAS DENION
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700